UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

IN RE: PARAGARD PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	: MDL DOCKET NO. 2974 :	
This document relates to: REGINA KING BELLOWS	: 1:20-md-02974-LMM :	
vs.	: Civil Action No	
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., ET AL.	: : :	
SHORT I	FORM COMPLAINT	
Come(s) now the Plaintiff(s) name	ned below, and for her/their Complaint against the	
Defendant(s) named below, incorporate(s)	the Second Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint	
(Doc No. 79), in MDL No. 2974 by reference	ce. Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:	
1. Name of Plaintiff placed wit	h Paragard: <u>REGINA KING BELLOWS</u>	
2. Name of Plaintiff's Spouse (if a party to the case): N/A	
3. If case is brought in a repres	entative capacity, Name of Other Plaintiff and capacity	
(i.e., administrator, executor,	, guardian, conservator): N/A	
4. State of Residence of each P	laintiff (including any Plaintiff in a representative	
capacity) at time of filing of	Plaintiff's original complaint: <u>CALIFORNIA</u>	
5. State of Residence of each P	laintiff at the time of Paragard placement: ARIZONA	
6. State of Residence of each P	laintiff at the time of Paragard removal: <u>NEW YORK</u>	
7. District Court and Division i	n which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper:	
United States District Court	for the Southern District of California	

- 8. Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) defendants against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (5) Defendants are the only Defendants against whom a Short Form Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a Defendant in a Short Form Complaint.):
- ☐ A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
- ☐ B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
- ☐ C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
- ☑ D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
- ☐ E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
- 9. Basis of Jurisdiction
- Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
- ☐ Other (if Other, identify below)

10.

Date(s) Plaintiff	Placing Physicians(s) or other	Date Plaintiff's	Removal Physician(s)
had Paragard	Health Care Provider	Paragard was	or other Health Care
placed	(include City and State)	Removed	Provided (include
(DD/MM/YYYY)		(DD/MM/YYYY)	City and State)**
		*	**If multiple
		*If multiple	removal(s) or
		removals or	attempted removal
		attempted removal	procedures, list
		procedures, list	information
		date of each	separately.
		separately.	
9/7/2011	Dr. Stepanida Freeman	4/26/2017	Janelle M. Skarphol,
	San Tan Valley, AZ		CNM.
			San Tan Valley, AZ
		3/14/2019	Dr. Raisa Gao
			New York, NY

11.	Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her Paragard upon		
	removal.		
	Yes No		
12.	Brief s	statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:	
	As a	direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered and	
	contin	ues to suffer significant bodily and mental injuries, including but not limited to	
	pain a	nd suffering, mental anguish, disfigurement, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss	
	of ear	nings, loss of reproductive health and past and potential future medical expenses.	
	Plainti	ff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and complications specific to	
13.	Produ	ct Identification:	
	a.	Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known): <u>Unknown</u>	
	b.	Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the Healthcare	
		Provider who placed your Paragard:	
		Yes No	
14.	Count	s in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):	
\boxtimes	Count I – Strict Liability / Design Defect		
\boxtimes	Count II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn		
\boxtimes	Count III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect		
\boxtimes	Count IV – Negligence		
\boxtimes	Count V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect		

\boxtimes	Count VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn			
\boxtimes	Count VII – Negligent Misrepresentation			
\boxtimes	Count VIII – Breach of Express Warranty			
\boxtimes	Count IX – Breach of Implied Warranty			
\boxtimes	Count X – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws			
\boxtimes	Count XI – Gross Negligence			
\boxtimes	Count XII – Unjust Enrichment			
\boxtimes	Count XIII – Punitive Damages			
	Count XIV – Loss of Consortium			
	Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims not included in			
the M	Master Complaint below):			
-				
15.	"Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:			
	a. Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?			
	⊠ Yes			
	□ No			
	b. If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond the facts			
	alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts and legal basis			
	applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those allegations below:			
	Prior to having the ParaGard IUD implanted, Plaintiff's healthcare providers told her			
	the ParaGard IUD was safe, effective, reliable, and that it could be easily removed.			

<u>lawye</u> :	rs after l	earning she might have a claim.
Coun	t VII (Fr	raud & Deceit) and County VIII (Fraud by Omission) allegations:
a.	Is Plai	ntiff bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & Deceit), Count VIII
	(Fraud	by Omission), and/or any other claim for fraud or misrepresentation?
\boxtimes	Yes No	
b.	If Yes	s, the following information must be provided (in accordance with
	Federa	al Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, and/or with pleading requirements
	applica	able to Plaintiff's state law claims):
	i.	The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false:
		Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective.
		Paragard was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control
		products on the market.
	ii.	Who allegedly made the statement: <u>Defendants</u>
	iii.	To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her
		healthcare provider who implanted Paragard.
	iv.	The date(s) on which the statement was made: <u>Defendants' statements</u>
		are within the Paragard label and marketing materials at all relevant
		times prior to implantation.

those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:

Case 1:23-mi-99999-UNA Document 478 Filed 02/15/23 Page 6 of 6

	a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? N/A
18.	Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is alleged in the Master
	Complaint: N/A
19.	Jury Demand:
\boxtimes	Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts
	Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count
	<u>/s/ Laura V. Yaeger</u> Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

Yaeger Law, PLLC
P.O. Box 530338
Saint Petersburg, FL 33747
727-202-5015
laura@yourlegalcounsel.net
FL Bar# 101972
TX Bar# 24011432